

Q1 2018 Pillar 3 Supplement

rbs.com

Contents	Page
Presentation of information	1
Forward-looking statements	1
Capital requirements and leverage	
KM1: BCBS 2 & EBA IFRS 9-FL: Key metrics – RBS	2
EBA IFRS 9-FL: EBA Key metrics – significant subsidiaries	3
CAP 1: Capital and leverage ratios – RBS and significant subsidiaries	4
CAP 2: Capital resources – RBS and significant subsidiaries	6
CAP 3: Leverage exposure summary – RBS and significant subsidiaries	6
EU OV1: RWAs and MCR summary – RBS and significant subsidiaries	7
OV1_a: Bridge between EU OV1 and credit risk RWAs	8
RWA and MCR movement tables	
EU CR8: IRB and STD: Credit risk RWAs and MCR flow statement	8
EU CCR7: CCR: IMM and Non-IMM: Counterparty credit risk RWAs and MCR flow statement	g
EU MR2 B: MR IMA and STD: Market risk RWAs and MCR flow statement	g

Presentation of information

- RBS's main risks are described in the Capital and risk management section and in the Risk factors section of the 2017 Annual Report and Accounts and the 2017 Pillar 3 Report; also refer to the glossary in both documents.
- The disclosures in this document complement those in the Q1 2018 Interim Management Statement: Business performance summary – Capital and leverage ratios.
- For basis of preparation and other aspects refer to Presentation of information on page 4 of the 2017 Pillar 3 Report.

Forward-looking statements

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including (but not limited to) those related to RBS and its subsidiaries' regulatory capital position and requirements, financial position, future pension funding and contribution requirements, ongoing litigation and regulatory investigations, profitability and financial performance (including financial performance targets), structural reform and the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime, the implementation of RBS's restructuring and transformation programme, impairment losses and credit exposures under certain specified scenarios, increasing competition from new incumbents and disruptive technologies and RBS's exposure to political risks, operational risk, conduct risk, cyber and IT risk and credit rating risk. In addition, forward-looking statements may include, without limitation, the words 'expect', 'estimate', 'project', 'anticipate', 'commit', 'believe', 'should', 'intend', 'project', 'could', 'probability', 'risk', 'Value-at-Risk (VaR)', 'target', 'goal', 'objective', 'may', 'endeavour', 'outlook', 'optimistic', 'prospects' and similar expressions or variations on these expressions. These statements concern or may affect future matters, such as RBS's future economic results, business plans and current strategies. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that might cause actual results and performance to differ materially from any expected future results or performance expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to differences in current expectations include, but are not limited to, legislative, political, fiscal and regulatory developments, accounting standards, competitive conditions, technological developments, interest and exchange rate fluctuations and general economic conditions. These and other factors, risks and uncertainties that may impact any forward-looking statement or RBS's actual results are discussed in RBS's UK 2017 Annual Report and Accounts (ARA) and materials filed with, or furnished to, the US Securities and Exchange Commission, including, but not limited to, RBS's most recent Annual Report on Form 20-F and Reports on Form 6-K. The forward-looking statements contained in this document speak only as of the date of this document and RBS does not assume or undertake any obligation or responsibility to update any of the forward-looking statements contained in this document, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except to the extent legally required.

Capital requirements and leverage

KM1: BCBS 2 & EBA IFRS 9-FL: Key metrics - RBS

The table below reflects the key metrics template in the BCBS consolidated Pillar 3 framework and the EBA's IFRS 9 template for RBS. Capital and leverage ratios presented are based on end point CRR rules.

	EBA		20	18		2017		
BCBS	2 IFRS		31 March		31 December		30 June	31 March
KM1	9 - FL	Capital - end point	£m		£m	£m	£m	£m
1	1	Common equity tier 1 (CET1)	33,334	32,478	31,957	32,558	31,874	31,252
	2	Common equity tier 1 (CET1) capital as if IFRS 9 transitional						
		arrangements had not been applied	33,334		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	3	Tier 1	37,375	36,519	35,998	36,599	35,915	35,293
	4	Tier 1 capital as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements						
		had not been applied	37,375	36,519	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	5	Total capital	43,756	43,284	42,763	43,440	43,022	42,663
	6	Total capital as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements						
		had not been applied	43,756		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Risk-weighted assets (amounts)	£m		£m	£m	£m	£m
4	7	Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	202,700	200,824	200,923	210,643	215,422	221,732
	8	Total risk-weighted assets as if IFRS 9 transitional						
		arrangements had not been applied	202,700	200,824	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA	%		%	%	%	%
5	9	Common equity tier 1 ratio	16.4	16.2	15.9	15.5	14.8	14.1
	10	Common equity tier 1 ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional						
_		arrangements had not been applied	16.4	16.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
6	11	Tier 1 ratio	18.4	18.2	17.9	17.4	16.7	15.9
	12	Tier 1 ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements	40.4	40.0	,	,	,	,
_		had not been applied	18.4	18.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
7	13	Total capital ratio	21.6	21.6	21.3	20.6	20.0	19.2
	14	Total capital ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements	04.0	04.0	/	- 1-	- /-	- /-
		had not been applied	21.6		n/a	n/a		
		Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA	%		<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>		
8		Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5% from 2019)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
9		Countercyclical buffer requirement	_	_	_	_	_	4.0
10		Bank GSIB and/or DSIB additional requirements	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
11 12		Total of CET1 specific buffer requirements (8 + 9 + 10)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
12		CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital	11.9	11.7	11.4	11.0	10.3	9.6
		requirements						
40	4.5	Leverage ratio	£m		£m	£m	£m	
13	15	CRR leverage ratio exposure measure	-	679,767 587,742	679,120	691,401		
		UK leverage ratio exposure measure			587,095	609,276		
4.4	4.0	CDD laware a ratio	% 5.4		<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
14	16	CRR leverage ratio	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.1	5.0
	17	CRR leverage ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements	- 4	- 4	,	,	,	,
		had not been applied	5.4		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		UK leverage ratio	6.2		6.1	6.0	5.8	5.7
		Liquidity coverage ratio	£m		£m	£m	£m	£m
4.5		Liquidity buffer	127,084	n/a	122,900	116,996		
16		Total net cash outflows	87,640	n/a	87,600	87,460	87,872	
17	<u>.</u>	LCR ratio (%)	145	140	140	134	127	122
	<u>.</u>	Net stable funding ratio (NSFR)	%		%	%	%	%
20		Net stable funding ratio (1)	137	132	132	126	123	120

Note:
(1) In November 2016, the European Commission published its proposal for NSFR rules within the EU as part of its CRR2 package of regulatory reforms. CRR2 NSFR is expected to become the regulatory requirement in future within the EU and the UK. RBS has changed its policy on the NSFR to align with its interpretation of the CRR2 proposals with effect from 1 January 2018. The pro forma CRR2 NSFR at 31 December 2017 under CRR2 proposals is estimated to be 139%.

EBA IFRS 9-FL: EBA Key metrics – significant subsidiaries

The table below shows key metrics as required by the EBA relating to IFRS 9 for RBS and its significant subsidiaries. Capital measures are on a CRR transitional basis.

			31 March	n 2018	
		RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC
Ava	ailable capital (amounts) - transitional	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Common equity tier 1	33,334	14,541	13,208	4,030
2	Common equity tier 1 capital as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	33,334	14,541	13,208	4,030
3	Tier 1	40,899	16,348	13,208	4,030
4	Tier 1 capital as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	40,899	16,348	13,208	4,030
5	Total capital	48,804	19,977	17,054	4,474
6	Total capital as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	48,804	19,977	17,054	4,474
Ris	k-weighted assets (amounts)	£m	£m	£m	£m
7	Total risk-weighted assets	202,700	111,604	62,735	16,714
8	Total risk-weighted assets as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	202,700	111,604	62,735	16,714
Ris	k-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA	%	%	%	%
9	Common equity tier 1 ratio	16.4	13.0	21.1	24.1
10	Common equity tier 1 ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	16.4	13.0	21.1	24.1
11	Tier 1 ratio	20.2	14.6	21.1	24.1
12	Tier 1 ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	20.2	14.6	21.1	24.1
13	Total capital ratio	24.1	17.9	27.2	26.8
14	Total capital ratio as if IFRS 9 transitional arrangements had not been applied	24.1	17.9	27.2	26.8

CAP 1: Capital and leverage ratios – RBS and significant subsidiaries

Capital, RWAs and leverage on a PRA transitional basis for RBS and its significant subsidiaries (Central Bank of Ireland basis for UBI DAC) are set out below. End point metrics and measures are also included for RBS. The key driver of the movements in RBS plc and NWB Plc was the annual phasing-in of the CRR transition rules relating to the capital deduction for significant investment in financial institutions. At 31 March 2018, 90% was treated as capital deduction and 10% as RWAs compared with 80% and 20% respectively at 31 December 2017.

	31 March 2018 31 December 20					per 2017		
	RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC	RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC
Capital adequacy ratios - transitional (1)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
CET1	16.4	13.0	21.1	24.1	15.9	14.7	23.5	31.2
Tier 1	20.2	14.6	21.1	24.1	19.7	16.1	23.5	31.2
Total	24.1	17.9	27.2	26.8	23.9	18.7	30.9	33.8
Capital adequacy ratios - end point								
CET1	16.4				15.9			
Tier 1	18.4				17.9			
Total	21.6				21.3			
Capital - transitional	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
CET1	33,334	14,541	13,208	4,030	31,957	20,169	13,301	5,481
Tier 1	40,899	16,348	13,208	4,030	39,554	21,966	13,301	5,481
Total	48,804	19,977	17,054	4,474	47,931	25,600	17,536	5,941
Capital - end point								
CET1	33,334				31,957			
Tier 1	37,375				35,998			
Total	43,756				42,763			
RWAs		·		,		·	·	
Credit risk (including counterparty risk)								
- non-counterparty	145,416	67,031	52,909	15,422	144,676	94,259	48,575	16,079
- counterparty	15,257	14,824	251	293	15,395	13,691	266	321
Market risk	19,636	18,232	138	62	17,012	15,809	136	68
Operational risk	22,391	11,517	9,437	937	23,840	13,052	7,724	1,101
	202,700	111,604	62,735	16,714	200,923	136,811	56,701	17,569
CRR leverage - transitional (2)								
Tier 1 capital	40,899	16,348	13,208	4,030	39,554	21,966	13,301	5,481
Exposure	693,181	370,984	235,793	25,611	679,120	390,055	213,474	27,857
Leverage ratio (%)	5.9	4.4	5.6	15.7	5.8	5.6	6.2	19.7
CRR leverage - end point								
Tier 1 capital	37,375				35,998			
Exposure	693,181				679,120			
Leverage ratio (%)	5.4				5.3			
Average Tier 1 capital	36,782				36,360			
Average exposure (4)	719,571				692,507			
Average leverage ratio (%)	5.1				5.3			
UK leverage - end point (3)								
Tier 1 capital	37,375				35,998			
Exposure	602,489				587,095			
Leverage ratio (%)	6.2				6.1			
Average Tier 1 capital	36,782				36,360			
Average exposure (4)	632,418				602,984			
Average leverage ratio (%)	5.8				6.0			
UK GSIB leverage								
Tier 1 capital (£m)	1,582				1,027			
Notes:	.,				.,02.			

Notes:
(1) CRR end-point for UK banks set by the PRA is 10.50% minimum total capital ratio, with a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.00%. The UK countercyclical capital buffer is currently 0.00%; in June 2017 the Financial Policy Committee (FPC) increased the rate to 0.5% effective June 2018; subsequently in November 2017 the FPC announced a further increase to 1.0% effective November 2018. These minimum ratios exclude the GSIB buffer and any bank specific buffers, including Pillar 2A and PRA buffer. The Central Bank of Ireland (CBI) has set a minimum total capital ratio of 10.50% with a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.00%; the countercyclical buffer is currently 0.00%.
 (2) Leverage exposure is broadly aligned to the accounting value of on and off-balance sheet exposures albeit subject to specific adjustments for derivatives, securities financing

The PRA minimum leverage ratio requirement is supplemented with a G-SII additional leverage ratio buffer rate, currently 0.2625% under transitional arrangements (2017 – 0.175%) increasing to 0.525% at the end point.

Based on the daily average of on-balance sheet items and three month-end average of off-balance sheet items (2017 - three month-end average of both on and off-balance

sheet items).

CAP 1: Capital and leverage ratios - RBS and significant subsidiaries continued RBS

- The CET1 ratio increased by 50 basis points to 16.4% as a result of the £792 million attributable profit and the 30 basis point impact at 1 January 2018 on the implementation of IFRS 9.
- RWAs increased by £1.8 billion driven by increases in market risk (£2.6 billion) and credit risk, excluding counterparty credit risk, (£0.7 billion) partly offset by a decrease in operational risk following the annual recalculation (£1.4 billion). The market risk increase primarily reflects higher interest rate sensitivities in NatWest Markets. Revisions to the LGD models for both the mid-corporate and quasi-government portfolios have offset reductions in exposures, resulting in an overall increase to credit risk RWAs.
- The CRR end-point leverage ratio increased marginally to 5.4%. The UK leverage ratio improved from 6.1% to 6.2%, reflecting higher central bank balances that are excluded from the UK framework.
- Average leverage ratios, both CRR and UK, were lower than the period end ratios, reflecting increased trading and market-making
 activities in NatWest Markets following significant reduction prior to 2017 year end. Funded assets in NatWest Markets increased
 by £16.5 billion to £135.2 billion in the quarter.
- The Basel I floor minimum capital requirement no longer applies with effect from 1 January 2018.

RBS plc

- The CET1 ratio decreased by 170 basis points to 13.0%.
- The impact of the annual phasing in of the CRR end-point rules (refer to page 4 for more details) relating to significant investments
 was a reduction of 250 basis points in the CET 1 ratio. The impact was partially offset by attributable profit of £137 million in the
 quarter.
- RWAs decreased by £25.2 billion to £111.6 billion, mainly as a result of the CRR phase-in relating to significant investments which
 reduced standardised credit risk RWAs by £24.3 billion.
- The leverage ratio on a PRA transitional basis was adversely impacted by lower CET1 capital.

NWB PIc

- The CET1 ratio decreased by 240 basis points to 21.1%, mainly due to increased RWAs.
- RWAs increased by £6.0 billion due to higher exposures in Commercial Banking reflecting transfers from RBS plc, revisions to the LGD models and the annual recalculation of operational risk, partly offset by phasing-in of CRR end-point rules relating to significant investments which reduced standardised credit risk RWAs by £1.0 billion.
- The leverage ratio on a PRA transitional basis was adversely impacted by lower CET1 capital.

UBI DAC

- The CET1 ratio decreased to 24.1% following capital repatriation as part of ring-fencing implementation in January 2018.
- RWAs decreased by £0.9 billion mainly due to exposure reductions, including the tracker mortgage book.
- The leverage ratio decreased as a result of the capital repatriation exercise.

CAP 2: Capital resources – RBS and significant subsidiaries

Capital resources based on the relevant local regulatory capital transitional arrangements for the significant legal entities within RBS are set out below.

	31 March	2018		31 December 2017				
	RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC	RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC
Capital	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Tangible equity	35,644	44,879	14,782	4,242	35,164	44,522	14,865	5,684
Expected loss less impairment provisions	(708)	(402)	(228)	(73)	(1,286)	(579)	(511)	(133)
Prudential valuation adjustment	(555)	(520)	(2)	_	(496)	(471)	(1)	_
Deferred tax assets	(825)	(26)	(538)	(256)	(849)	(50)	(537)	(259)
Own credit adjustments	(166)	(55)	_	(1)	(90)	10	_	(1)
Pension fund assets	(299)	(196)	(11)	(48)	(287)	(196)	(11)	(49)
Significant investment in financial institutions	_	(28,817)	(708)	_	_	(22,539)	(456)	_
Cash flow hedging reserve	204	221	_	_	(227)	49	_	_
Other adjustments for regulatory purposes	39	(543)	(87)	166	28	(577)	(48)	239
Total deductions	(2,310)	(30,338)	(1,574)	(212)	(3,207)	(24,353)	(1,564)	(203)
CET1 capital	33,334	14,541	13,208	4,030	31,957	20,169	13,301	5,481
AT1 capital before regulatory adjustments	7,565	1,807	117	_	7,597	1,877	140	(13)
Regulatory adjustments to AT1 capital	_		(117)			(80)	(140)	13
AT1 capital	7,565	1,807	_	_	7,597	1,797	_	_
Tier 1 capital	40,899	16,348	13,208	4,030	39,554	21,966	13,301	5,481
Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments	7,905	4,068	4,140	444	8,377	4,353	4,412	473
Regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 capital	_	(439)	(294)			(719)	(177)	(13)
Tier 2 capital	7,905	3,629	3,846	444	8,377	3,634	4,235	460
Total regulatory capital	48,804	19,977	17,054	4,474	47,931	25,600	17,536	5,941

CAP 3: Leverage exposure summary - RBS and significant subsidiaries

Leverage exposure based on the relevant local regulatory capital transitional arrangements are set out below.

		31 March	n 2018		31 December 2017				
The same and the s	RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC	RBS	RBS plc	NWB Plc	UBI DAC	
Leverage exposure	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	
Cash and balances at central banks	95,376	44,080	49,825	167	98,337	61,625	34,763	286	
Derivatives	149,859	153,008	2,086	463	160,843	164,179	2,277	517	
Loans and advances	334,743	153,731	206,803	21,407	339,438	154,953	216,467	23,065	
Reverse repos	37,886	27,080	_	316	40,732	34,224	_	764	
Other assets	120,645	131,985	6,135	2,307	98,706	110,908	6,210	2,217	
Total assets	738,509	509,884	264,849	24,660	738,056	525,889	259,717	26,849	
Derivatives									
 netting and variation margin 	(148,673)	(150,884)	(1,544)	(55)	(161,653)	(164,101)	(1,696)	(76)	
- potential future exposures	48,085	50,313	276	238	49,429	51,654	287	255	
Securities financing transactions gross up	2,681	2,921	_	_	2,262	2,958	_	_	
Undrawn commitments	52,528	26,288	16,334	992	53,062	33,714	10,466	1,066	
Regulatory deductions and other adjustments	51	(29,856)	(2,183)	(224)	(2,036)	(24,372)	(2,188)	(237)	
Exclusion of core UK-group exposures	_	(37,682)	(41,939)		_	(35,687)	(53,112)		
CRR leverage exposure	693,181	370,984	235,793	25,611	679,120	390,055	213,474	27,857	
Claims on central banks	(90,692)				(92,025)				
UK leverage exposure	602,489				587,095				

EU OV1: RWAs and MCR summary - RBS and significant subsidiaries

The table below summarises RWAs and minimum capital requirements (MCR) by risk type for RBS and its significant subsidiaries. MCR is calculated as 8% of RWAs.

Name			RBS	3	RBS plc		NWB Plc		UBI DA	VC.
Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 141,001 11,281 61,808 4,945 4,885 3,750 15,410 1233 534 544 544 54,732 54,7974 1,438 2,494 200 818 65 64,4371 3,550 14,592 1,168 54,40enced (IRB approach (2) 118,151 9,453 43,817 3,506 44,371 3,550 14,592 1,168 54,40enced (IRB approach (2) 118,151 9,453 43,817 3,506 44,371 3,550 14,592 1,168 54,40enced (IRB approach (2) 14,791 1,183 14,555 1,164 251 20 293 23 23 23 247 2,458 197 35 3 179 14 20 20 247 2,458 197 35 3 179 14 20 247 2,458 197 35 3 179 14 20 247 2,458 197 35 3 179 14 247 248										
Standardised (STD) approach 21,645 1,732 17,974 1,438 2,494 200 818 65 65 Advanced IRB approach (2) 118,151 9,453 43,817 3,506 44,371 3,500 14,592 1,168 Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight 1,205 96 17 1 0 0 0 0 0			£m		£m	£m		£m		
Advanced IRB approach (n)	1	Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)	141,001			4,945				1,233
Egyptic PRB under the simple risk-weight or the internal model approach (IMA) 1,205 96 17 1 — — — 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,791 1,183 14,555 1,164 251 20 293 23 6 of which: microtrisk planaring transactions 3,092 247 2,458 197 35 3 179 14 10 of which: microtrial model method (IMM) 7,333 369 8,672 693 216 17 112 9 of which: instead model method (IMM) 7,333 369 8,672 693 216 17 112 9 of which: instead model method (IMM) 7,335 369 8,672 693 216 17 112 9 of which: instead model method (IMM) 7,352 58 8,672 693 216 17 112 9 of which: instead model method (IMM) 7,352 19 19 4 116 19 4 116 19 4 116 19 4 <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2				,					
or the internal model approach (IMA) 1,205 96 177 1 1 − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	4		118,151	9,453	43,817	3,506	44,371	3,550	14,592	1,168
Counterparty credit risk (CCR)	5	, ,								
Fig. Fig.		or the internal model approach (IMA)	1,205	96			_	_		_
7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6	Counterparty credit risk (CCR)						20		
10 Of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,353 589 8,672 693 216 17 112 9 11 Of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,353 589 8,672 693 216 17 112 9 11 Of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,353 589 8,672 593 24	6a	of which: securities financing transactions	3,092	247	2,458	197	35	3	179	14
11 Of which: risk exposure amount for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 2,480 198 2,374 190	7	of which: marked to market	1,804	144	998	80	_		1	-
Contributions to the default fund of a CCP 62 5 53 4	10	of which: internal model method (IMM)	7,353	589	8,672	693	216	17	112	9
12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	11	of which: risk exposure amount for								
Settlement risk		contributions to the default fund of a CCP	62	5	53	4	_	_	_	-
14 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,678 214 1,286 103 1,151 92	12	of which: CVA	2,480	198	2,374	190	_	_	1	_
File RR approach 2,664 213 1,272 100 1,151 92	13	Settlement risk	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Internal assessment approach 14	14	Securitisation exposures in banking book	2,678	214	1,286	103	1,151	92	_	_
Market risk 19,636 1,571 18,232 1,459 138 11 62 5 STD approach 2,679 214 1,585 127 136 11 62 5 MA 16,957 1,357 16,647 1,332 2 2 Qoperational risk - STD approach 22,391 1,791 11,517 921 9,437 755 937 75 TAmounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,203 176 4,206 336 4,893 391 12 1 29 Total 202,700 16,216 111,604 8,928 62,735 5,019 16,714 1,337 31 December 2017 (1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 1,347 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 140,003 11,200 89,140 7,131 42,726 3,418 16,067 1,286 2 STD approach 22,099 1,768 43,111 3,449 3,055 244 714 57 4 Advanced IRB approach (2) 116,695 9,335 46,012 3,681 39,671 3,174 15,353 1,229 Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight or the IMA 1,209 97 17 1 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a 0 which: securities financing transactions 1,476 118 786 63 4 0 f which: marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 31 191 16 0 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 10 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 10 of which: countibutions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 2 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,830 227 1,431 115 1,080 86 3 Settlement risk 7,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 5 Ma 5 TD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 5 Ma 5 TD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 1 MA 1,4018 1,211 13,719 1,098 2 2 Operational risk - STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 2 Ma 5 TD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 13	15	IRB approach	2,664	213	1,272	102	1,151	92	_	-
STD approach 2,679 214 1,585 127 136 11 62 5 IMA	17	Internal assessment approach	14	1	14	1	_	_	_	-
IMA	19	Market risk	19,636	1,571	18,232	1,459	138	11	62	5
22 Operational risk - STD approach 22,391 1,791 11,517 921 9,437 755 937 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	20	STD approach	2,679	214	1,585	127	136	11	62	5
27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,203 176 4,206 336 4,893 391 12 1 129 Total 202,700 16,216 111,604 8,928 62,735 5,019 16,714 1,337 31 December 2017 (1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21	IMA	16,957	1,357	16,647	1,332	2		_	_
Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,203 176 4,206 336 4,893 391 12 1 12 12 12 12 12 1	23	Operational risk - STD approach	22,391	1,791	11,517	921	9,437	755	937	75
202,700 16,216 111,604 8,928 62,735 5,019 16,714 1,337										
1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 140,003 11,200 89,140 7,131 42,726 3,418 16,067 1,286 1,286 2 STD approach 22,099 1,768 43,111 3,449 3,055 244 714 57 4 Advanced IRB approach (2) 116,695 9,335 46,012 3,681 39,671 3,174 15,353 1,229 5 Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight or the IMA 1,209 97 17 1 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a of which: securities financing transactions 1,476 118 786 63 4 7 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 10 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 12 of which: CVA 2,556 204 2,409 193 1 3 13 Settlement risk 21 2 21 2 14 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,830 227 1,431 115 1,080 86 17 Internal assessment approach 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(subject to 250% risk-weight)	2,203	176	4,206	336	4,893	391	12	1
1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 140,003 11,200 89,140 7,131 42,726 3,418 16,067 1,286 2 STD approach 22,099 1,768 43,111 3,449 3,055 244 714 57 4 Advanced IRB approach (2) 116,695 9,335 46,012 3,681 39,671 3,174 15,353 1,229 5 Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight or the IMA 1,209 97 17 1 — — — — — 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a of which: securities financing transactions of the default fund of a contribution marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 191 16 6a of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58	29	Total	202,700	16,216	111,604	8,928	62,735	5,019	16,714	1,337
1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 140,003 11,200 89,140 7,131 42,726 3,418 16,067 1,286 2 STD approach 22,099 1,768 43,111 3,449 3,055 244 714 57 4 Advanced IRB approach (2) 116,695 9,335 46,012 3,681 39,671 3,174 15,353 1,229 5 Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight or the IMA 1,209 97 17 1 — — — — — 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a of which: securities financing transactions of the default fund of a contribution marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 191 16 6a of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58		24 December 2047 (1)								
2 STD approach 22,099 1,768 43,111 3,449 3,055 244 714 57 4 Advanced IRB approach (2) 116,695 9,335 46,012 3,681 39,671 3,174 15,353 1,229 5 Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight or the IMA 1,209 97 17 1 — — — — — 6a Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a of which: securities financing transactions of which: securities financing transactions of which: securities financing transactions 1,476 118 786 63 — — 4 — 7 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 — — — — — 2 Settlement risk 21 2 21 2 2 —		· ''	4.40.000	44.000	00.440	7.404	40.700	0.440	40.007	4.000
Advanced IRB approach (2)										
Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight or the IMA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								_
or the IMA 1,209 97 17 1 — — — — 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a of which: securities financing transactions of which: internal model method (IMM) 1,476 118 786 63 — — 4 — 7 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 — — — — 12 of which: risk exposures in banking book 2,856 204 2,409 193 1 — 3 — 13 Settlement risk <td< td=""><td>4</td><td></td><td>116,695</td><td>9,335</td><td>46,012</td><td>3,681</td><td>39,671</td><td>3,174</td><td>15,353</td><td>1,229</td></td<>	4		116,695	9,335	46,012	3,681	39,671	3,174	15,353	1,229
6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 14,842 1,187 13,393 1,071 266 22 321 26 6a of which: securities financing transactions 1,476 118 786 63 — — 4 — 6 7 of which: marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 191 16 10 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	5	Equity IRB under the simple risk-weight								
6a of which: securities financing transactions 1,476 118 786 63 — — 4 — 7 of which: marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 191 16 10 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 — — — — 12 of which: CVA 2,556 204 2,409 193 1 — 3 — 13 Settlement risk 21 2 21 2 — — — — 14 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,830 227 1,431 115 1,080 86 — — 15 IRB approach 2,819 226 1,420 114 1,080 86 — —		or the IMA	1,209	97	17	1	_	_	_	_
7 of which: marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 191 16 10 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	6	Counterparty credit risk (CCR)	14,842	1,187	13,393	1,071	266	22	321	26
7 of which: marked to market 3,159 253 2,387 191 33 3 191 16 10 of which: internal model method (IMM) 7,590 607 7,753 619 232 19 123 10 11 of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP 61 5 58 5 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	6a	of which: securities financing transactions	1,476	118	786	63	_	_	4	_
of which: risk exposure amounts for contributions to the default fund of a CCP of which: CVA 61 5 58 5 — — — — 12 of which: CVA 2,556 204 2,409 193 1 — 3 — 13 Settlement risk 21 2 21 2 — — — — 14 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,830 227 1,431 115 1,080 86 — — 15 IRB approach 2,819 226 1,420 114 1,080 86 — — 17 Internal assessment approach 11 1 11 1 — — — — 19 Market risk 17,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — <t< td=""><td>7</td><td></td><td>3,159</td><td>253</td><td>2,387</td><td>191</td><td>33</td><td>3</td><td>191</td><td>16</td></t<>	7		3,159	253	2,387	191	33	3	191	16
contributions to the default fund of a CCP of which: CVA 61 5 58 5 —	10	of which: internal model method (IMM)	7,590	607	7,753	619	232	19	123	10
12 of which: CVA 2,556 204 2,409 193 1 — 3 — 13 Settlement risk 21 2 21 2 — — — — 14 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,830 227 1,431 115 1,080 86 — — 15 IRB approach 2,819 226 1,420 114 1,080 86 — — 17 Internal assessment approach 11 1 11 1 — — — — 19 Market risk 17,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907	11	of which: risk exposure amounts for								
13 Settlement risk 21 2 21 2 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		contributions to the default fund of a CCP	61	5	<i>5</i> 8	5	_	_		_
14 Securitisation exposures in banking book 2,830 227 1,431 115 1,080 86 — — 15 IRB approach 2,819 226 1,420 114 1,080 86 — — 17 Internal assessment approach 11 1 11 1 — — — — 19 Market risk 17,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — — 2,092 — —	12	of which: CVA	2,556	204	2,409	193	1	_	3	_
15 IRB approach 2,819 226 1,420 114 1,080 86 — — 17 Internal assessment approach 11 1 11 1 1 — — — — 19 Market risk 17,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — — 2,092 — —	13	Settlement risk	21	2	21	2	_		_	
17 Internal assessment approach 11 1 11 1 11 6 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 - - - - 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965	14	Securitisation exposures in banking book	2,830	227	1,431	115	1,080	86	_	_
19 Market risk 17,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — — 2,092 — —	15	IRB approach	2,819	226	1,420	114	1,080	86	_	_
19 Market risk 17,012 1,361 15,809 1,265 136 11 68 5 20 STD approach 2,994 240 2,090 167 134 11 68 5 21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — — 2,092 — —	17	Internal assessment approach	11	1	11	1	_	_	_	-
21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — 2,092 — —	19		17,012	1,361	15,809	1,265	136	11	68	5
21 IMA 14,018 1,121 13,719 1,098 2 — — — 23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — 2,092 — —	20	STD approach				167	134	11	68	5
23 Operational risk - STD approach 23,840 1,907 13,052 1,044 7,724 618 1,101 88 27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) - 1,981 - - - 2,092 - -								_	_	_
27 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — 2,092 — —								618	1,101	88
(subject to 250% risk-weight) 2,375 190 3,965 317 4,769 381 12 1 28 Floor adjustment (3) — 1,981 — — 2,092 — —			7	,	7	**	•			
	21		2 275	100	3 065	217	4 760	201	10	4
		` ,	2,375		3,905	317	4,709		12	1
29 TOTALI 200,923 18,055 136,811 10,945 56,701 6,628 17,569 1,406	_	• **			400.044	40.045			47.500	4 400
	29	TOTAL	200,923	18,055	130,811	10,945	56,701	ნ,ნ∠გ	17,569	1,406

Refer to the commentary following CAP 1 for explanations relating to RWA movements for RBS and its significant subsidiaries. This commentary is based on credit and counterparty credit risk as managed internally within RBS whereby securitisations, thresholds and CVA are included within credit and counterparty credit risk as relevant. OV1_a provides a bridge between the two RWA approaches. Refer also to the commentary relating to RWA flow statements EU CR8, EU CCR7 and EU MR 2_B for individual components in OV1_a.

Notes:

(1) RWA approaches not used by RBS, such as Credit risk Foundation IRB, Counterparty credit risk original exposure and STP approach, Securitisation IRB supervisory formula and STD approaches and Operational risk basic indicator and AMA approaches are not included in this table.

(2) Of which £785 million RWAs (31 December 2017 - £752 million) relates to equity IRB under the PD/LGD approach.

(3) The Basel I floor adjustment represents the additional capital requirement when comparing the Basel III Pillar 1 approach (sum of capital requirements from individual risk types) to the Basel I floor (calculated as 80% of Basel I capital requirement adjusted for excess expected loss).

OV1_a: Bridge between EU OV1 and credit risk RWAs

The table below provides a bridge between the EU OV1 RWA summary, the RWA categorisation used by RBS for capital management, and detailed tables within this report. The principal reasons for the presentational differences relate to securitisations, thresholds and CVA.

	RWAs		
	31 March	31 December	
	2018 £m	2017 £m	
Credit risk excluding counterparty credit risk (EU OV1 row 1)	141,001	140,003	
Securitisations (banking book only)	2,212	2,298	
Threshold (EU OV1 row 27)	2,203	2,375	
Credit risk including securitisations (EU CR8)	145,416	144,676	
Counterparty risk total (EU OV1 row 6)	14,791	14,842	
Less: CVA capital charge (EU OV1 row 12)	(2,480)	(2,556)	
Settlement risk (EU OV1 row 13)		21	
Securitisations (banking book only)	466	532	
Counterparty risk (EU CCR7 row 9)	12,777	12,839	
Total STD (EU OV1 row 2)	21,645	22,099	
Threshold (EU OV1 row 27)	2,203	2,375	
Total STD credit risk (EU CR8)	23,848	24,474	
Total AIRB credit risk (EU OV1 row 4)	118,151	116,695	
Equity (EU OV1 row 5)	1,205	1,209	
Securitisations in credit risk (subset of EU OV1)	2,212	2,298	
Total AIRB credit risk (EU CR8)	121,568	120,202	

RWA and MCR movement tables

EU CR8: IRB and STD: Credit risk RWAs and MCR flow statement

The table below presents the drivers of movements in credit risk RWAs and MCR. RWAs include securitisations, deferred tax assets and significant investments to align with the capital management approaches of RBS and its segments. There were no methodology or policy changes in Q1 2018. Additionally there were no acquisitions or disposals of subsidiaries during the quarter.

		b		
		RWAs		
	IRB £m	STD £m	Total RWAs £m	MCR £m
1 January 2018	120,202	24,474	144,676	11,574
IFRS 9 impact		(99)	(99)	(8)
Opening position post IFRS 9 impact	120,202	24,375	144,577	11,566
2 Asset size (1)	(1,818)	(279)	(2,097)	(168)
3 Asset quality (2)	(719)	28	(691)	(55)
4 Model updates (3)	4,626	_	4,626	370
7 Foreign exchange movements (4)	(723)	(276)	(999)	(80)
9 31 March 2018	121,568	23,848	145,416	11,633

- Organic changes in book size and composition (including the origination of new business and maturing loans) and changes due to acquisitions and disposals of portfolios
- Changes in the assessed quality of assets due to changes in borrower risk, such as rating grade migration or similar effects. Updates to the model to reflect recent experience or changes to model scope. Changes arising from foreign currency retranslation movements.

- The increase in RWAs mainly reflected revisions to LGD models for both the UK mid-corporate and quasigovernment portfolios.
- This was partly offset by reductions in RWAs due to regular model re-calibration in certain retail portfolios, mainly mortgages.
- Over the period, sterling strengthened against the US dollar, the euro and the Saudi riyal, which also offset the overall increase.
- In addition, there was a reduction in asset size due to repayments and limit reductions.

EU CCR7: CCR: IMM and Non-IMM: Counterparty credit risk RWAs and MCR flow statement

The table below presents the drivers of movements in counterparty credit risk RWAs and MCR (excluding CVA and exposures cleared through a central counterparty). There were no IMM methodology or policy changes or any IMM model updates in Q1 2018. Additionally there were no acquisitions or disposals of subsidiaries during the quarter.

		a RWAs				
	IMM £m	Non-IMM £m	Total £m	IMM £m	MCR Non-IMM £m	Total £m
1 January 2018	7,709	5,130	12,839	617	410	1,027
2 Asset size (1)	(128)	297	169	(10)	24	14
3 Credit quality of counterparties (2)	_	(70)	(70)	_	(6)	(6)
7 Foreign exchange movements (3)	(116)	(45)	(161)	(9)	(4)	(13)
9 31 March 2018	7,465	5,312	12,777	598	424	1,022

Notes:

- (1) Organic changes in book size and composition (including the origination of new business) and changes due to acquisitions and disposal of portfolios and exposures.
- (2) Changes in the assessed quality of counterparties as measured under RBS's credit risk framework, including changes due to IRB models. Changes due to IMM model changes are presented separately when relevant.
- (3) Changes arising from foreign currency retranslation movements.

Key points

- Total RWAs were broadly unchanged over the quarter.
- A rise in asset size chiefly reflecting increased trading activity in securities financing transactions – was partly offset by sterling strengthening against the US dollar and, less so, against the euro.

EU MR2_B: MR IMA and STD: Market risk RWAs and MCR flow statement

The table below presents the drivers of movements in market risk RWAs and MCR. There were no IMA methodology or any policy changes or any IMA model updates in Q1 2018. Additionally there were no acquisitions or disposals of subsidiaries during the quarter. Changes in market risk arising from foreign currency retranslation are included within 'Movement in risk levels' as they are managed together with portfolio changes.

			II	MA						
		RWAs (1)							Total	
	а	b	С	е	f	g				
	VaR	SVaR	IRC	Other (RNIV)	Total	MCR	RWAs	MCR	RWAs	MCR
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
1 At 1 January 2018	2,769	4,990	3,715	2,544	14,018	1,121	2,994	240	17,012	1,361
2 Movement in risk levels (2)	717	2,892	(567)	(103)	2,939	235	(315)	(25)	2,624	210
8 At 31 March 2018	3,486	7,882	3,148	2,441	16,957	1,356	2,679	215	19,636	1,571

Notes:

- (1) RBS does not use the Comprehensive risk measure to calculate market risk RWAs.
- (2) Due to position changes

Key points

- The increase in total market risk RWAs over the quarter was driven by exposures under the internal model approach, notably increases in the VaR and SVaR-based requirements, partly offset by a decrease in the incremental risk charge.
- The VaR and SVaR increases chiefly reflected higher interest rate sensitivities in NatWest Markets.
- The lower incremental risk charge largely reflected a reduction in eurozone government bond positions.
- The decrease in RWAs associated with exposures under the standardised approach was mainly driven by disposals and expiries in trading book securitisations and a lower banking book foreign exchange charge.